

Disclose or Beware - What the Corporate Transparency Act Means for Investors and Lenders

A Practical Guidance® Article by Terri Adler, Brian Blitz, Beth Turtz, and Max Feder,
Duval & Stachenfeld LLP



Terri Adler
Duval & Stachenfeld LLP



Brian Blitz
Duval & Stachenfeld LLP



Beth Turtz
Duval & Stachenfeld LLP



Max Feder
Duval & Stachenfeld LLP

The Corporate Transparency Act (the Act) became law on January 1, 2021, as part of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2021. Under the Act, “reporting companies,” which are corporations, limited liability companies, and similar entities (presumably including limited partnerships) formed in the U.S., or non-U.S. entities registered to do business in the U.S., will be required to report certain information about each beneficial owner, including their name, date of birth, current address, and a unique identifying number from an acceptable identification document, such as a driver’s license or non-expired passport number. Reporting companies will be required to file the report with the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network of the Department of the Treasury (FinCEN) upon registration or formation. Entities that already exist as of the effective date of the regulations will have a two-year grace period before reporting is required. The Act requires that the information reported to FinCEN be kept confidential and will not be made public, but can be requested by a financial institution in connection with their customer due diligence requirements (with the consent of the reporting company) and in connection with certain law enforcement activities.

While the United States Department of Treasury has one year to enact enabling regulations, given the Act’s applicability to reporting companies that already exist, every participant in the real estate industry should start to consider how to incorporate the Act’s requirements into their business. While we do not anticipate that the Act will impact most clients on a day-to-day basis, special attention should be paid to the documentation used for ongoing transactions involving third parties, such as joint ventures and debt transactions.

As a starting point, real estate investors at all levels of the capital stack should consider adding provisions into their equity documents – including joint venture and management agreements – requiring compliance by the partner with the Act or evidence that the partner is exempt. Funds that are not exempt from the reporting requirements (because, for example, their advisor is not a registered investment advisor) should also consider adding similar representations and covenants to their partnership, subscription, and management agreements. As the Act contains ongoing reporting obligations to account for changes in ownership, governance documents should incorporate continued compliance with the Act's requirements in a similar manner as is done for other anti-bribery, anti-corruption, and anti-terrorism reporting regulations.

Lenders, particularly mezzanine lenders who could step into the ownership of a reporting company if they foreclose on their collateral, should consider addressing the Act in their loan documents. For example, representations regarding compliance with the Act or exemption from its requirements should be added, as well as covenants requiring continued compliance and additional reporting requirements relating to any submissions required by the Act

In addition, the Act imposes criminal penalties on persons who willfully provide false or fraudulent beneficial

ownership information or fail to report complete or updated beneficial ownership information to FinCEN. While the Act's reporting requirements fall on reporting companies, it is unknown whether regulators would seek to impose civil or criminal sanctions on a reporting company acquired by a mezzanine lender or other entity following the acquisition. To that end, mezzanine lenders and others should treat compliance with the Act in the same manner as compliance with other anti-money laundering and anti-terrorism laws.

Sellers of high-end residential real estate, which frequently attracts investors seeking the anonymity and protections of buying through a single purpose entity such as a limited liability company, may be concerned that the Corporate Transparency Act could dampen the market for their units. On initial review, the Act might appear to require additional disclosures from potential buyers; however, it should be noted that as part of a lender's "know your client" process, financial institutions already generally require disclosure of beneficial ownership information. Moreover, in certain areas of the country, including New York City, title companies are required to collect and report beneficial ownership information to FinCEN for all cash transactions in excess of \$300,000.

We encourage companies to stay cognizant of these regulations, which may require adjustments to internal governance procedures.

Terri Adler, Managing Partner, Duval & Stachenfeld LLP

Terri L. Adler is Duval & Stachenfeld's Managing Partner, chair of the Real Estate Department, and a member of the Management Committee. Ms. Adler is an unmatched powerhouse in corporate real estate transactions requiring complicated bespoke structuring. While overseeing one of the largest real estate practice groups in New York City, Ms. Adler has developed a wide range of expertise in all areas of national and international real estate practice with a particular focus on complex joint ventures and corporate real estate transactions. She has been at the forefront of many industry trends, whether involving the creation of new real estate platforms, to strategic investments in existing real estate platforms to preferred equity investments and multi-tiered joint ventures.

Brian Blitz, Partner, Duval & Stachenfeld LLP

Brian Blitz is a partner in Duval & Stachenfeld's Corporate Real Estate Practice Group. Mr. Blitz focuses on all aspects of corporate transactions, including corporate real estate transactions. He routinely handles matters involving mergers and acquisitions, joint ventures, divestitures, carve-out transactions, corporate governance and general corporate counseling. He also has represented clients in connection with strategic investments in fund managers. Mr. Blitz has advised clients in a range of private and publicly held companies and financial sponsors across an array of industries, including REITs, mobile housing, healthcare, aerospace, recycling, insurance, communications, retail, consumer products, and online gaming.

Beth Turtz, Partner, Duval & Stachenfeld LLP

Beth Turtz is a member of Duval & Stachenfeld's Real Estate Practice Group and the Distressed Real Estate Practice Group. Ms. Turtz has over 30 years of extensive experience in all principal areas of real estate law, including acquisition, disposition, finance and leasing of buildings and shopping centers; transfers of undeveloped land; exchange transactions; commercial property management; and conversion and sale of cooperatives and condominiums (including bulk transfers of units). Most recently, Ms. Turtz has had extensive experience in real estate acquisitions and sales, and ground leases. She also specializes in high end residential transactions in New York City.

Max Feder, Associate, Duval & Stachenfeld LLP

Max A. Feder is a member of Duval & Stachenfeld's Corporate and Real Estate practice groups and a member of several specialty practice groups, including Emerging Developers, Fund Formation, and Opportunity Zones. Max's practice focuses on complex corporate and real estate transactions, and he regularly represents public companies, private equity funds, family offices, institutional investors, sponsors, developers, managers, and operators in connection with sophisticated joint venture arrangements and platform investments, as well as acquisitions, dispositions, and financings. Max also regularly represents sponsors and investors in connection with all aspects of fund formations and equity syndications, including structuring, preparing disclosure and other offering materials, and drafting and negotiating the operative fund and underlying transaction documents.

This document from Practical Guidance[®], a comprehensive resource providing insight from leading practitioners, is reproduced with the permission of LexisNexis[®]. Practical Guidance includes coverage of the topics critical to practicing attorneys. For more information or to sign up for a free trial, visit lexisnexis.com/practical-guidance. Reproduction of this material, in any form, is specifically prohibited without written consent from LexisNexis.